

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/634,254	LEHMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel P. Stephenson	3672	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Abandoned

(1) Daniel P. Stephenson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Warren Kice.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 February 2006

**Time:** 11:10 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner inquired as to the status of the application since no reply had been received to the last office action which had been mailed over 6 months prior. The attorney for the applicant stated that the case was going to be abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)